arXiv:1401.6845v3 [math-ph] 9 Mar 2015

Leibniz bialgebras

A. Rezaei-Aghdam[a*] GH. Haghighatdoost[b†]and L. Sedghi-Ghadim[b‡]

[a]*Department of Physics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University , 51745-406, Tabriz, Iran.*

[b]*Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University , 51745-406, Tabriz, Iran.*

March 10, 2015

Abstract

We define the bialgebra structure for the Leibniz algebras and prove the correspondence between the Leibniz bialgebras (for different right or left cases) and its dual. We also define the coboundary Leibniz bialgebras, the classical r-matrices and Yang-Baxter equation related to the Leibniz algebras. Finally, we give some simple examples.

1 Introduction

The notion of Leibniz algebras were first proposed by Blokh [1] in 1965 under the name D-algebras as a natural generalization of Lie algebras. Later they were rediscovered by J. L. Loday [2] who called them Leibniz algebras as noncommutative analogues of Lie algebras. They are defined by a bilinear bracket which satisfies the derivation identity but is non-antisymmetric. In the past two decades the theory of Leibniz algebras has been extensively studied and many results of Lie algebras have been extended to Leibniz algebras such as classical results on Cartan subalgebras [3], Levi 's theorem for Leibniz algebras [4], the representation and also homology and cohomology of Leibniz algebras [5, 6] (see also [7]). Also, the classification of low dimensional solvable and nilpotent Leibniz algebras [8] were studied. However, the structure theory of Leibniz algebras mostly remains unexplored. Here, we will try to define bialgebra structure related to the Leibniz algebras; as an extension of Lie bialgebras [9] (see for a review [10]).

The outline of the paper is as follows: in section two, in order to make the paper self-contained we review some basic definitions about Leibniz algebras and their cohomology. Then, along the lines of [10] in section three we define the Leibniz bialgebras and show that if $(\mathcal{G}, \gamma)$ is a Leibniz bialgebra with bracket μ, then its dual $(\mathcal{G}^*, \mu^t)$ is also a Leibniz bialgebra.The definitions of coboundary Leibniz bialgebra, classical r-matrices and classical Yang-Baxter equation are brought in section four. Finally, in section five we obtain some two and three dimensional

*e-mail:rezaei-a@azaruniv.edu

†e-mail:gorbanali@azaruniv.edu

‡e-mail:m.sedghi88@azaruniv.edu

examples by writing the 1-cocycle conditions in terms of structure constants, and transforming them by adjoint representations to the matrix forms and solving them. We also obtain the related classical r-matrices. Some concluding remarks and open problems are discussed in conclusions.

2 Basic definitions

For self containing of the paper let us recall some basic definitions about Leibniz algebras .

Definition 1. [5] A right or left Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ is a vector space over a field K endowed with a bilinear bracket $[,]$ satisfying the following right or left Leibniz identity

$$r)\ [[Y,Z],X] = [[Y,X],Z] + [Y,[Z,X]], \quad \forall X,Y,Z \in \mathcal{G}, \tag{1}$$
$$l)\ [X,[Y,Z]] = [[X,Y],Z] + [Y,[X,Z]], \quad \forall X,Y,Z \in \mathcal{G}. \tag{2}$$

For any $X \in \mathcal{G}$, consider the right (left) adjoint mapping $ad_X^{(r)} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ($ad_X^{(l)} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$) defined by $ad_X^{(r)}(Z) = [Z,X]$ ($ad_X^{(l)}(Z) = [X,Z]$). Clearly, the right (left) Leibniz identity is equivalent to assert that $ad_X^{(r)}$ ($ad_X^{(l)}$) is a derivation for any $X \in \mathcal{G}$.

Definition 2. [5] Let $(\mathcal{G},[.,.])$ be a right (left) Leibniz algebra. A vector space M is called a $\mathcal{G}$-module if there are following two actions of $\mathcal{G}$ on M:

$$[.,.]_L : \mathcal{G} \times M \longrightarrow M \quad , \quad [.,.]_R : M \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow M,$$

such that for the right Leibniz algebra we have the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} &1)\ [[X,Y],m]_L = [[X,m]_L,Y]_R + [X,[Y,m]_L]_L, \\ &2)\ [[m,Y]_R,X]_R = [[m,X]_R,Y]_R + [m,[Y,X]]_R, \\ &3)\ [[Y,m]_L,X]_R = [[Y,X],m]_L + [Y,[m,X]_R]_L, \quad \forall X,Y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \forall m \in M, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

while for the left one we have

$$\begin{aligned} &1)\ [m,[X,Y]]_R = [[m,X]_R,Y]_R + [X,[m,Y]_R]_L, \\ &2)\ [X,[m,Y]_R]_L = [[X,m]_L,Y]_R + [m,[X,Y]]_R, \\ &3)\ [X,[Y,m]_L]_L = [[X,Y],m]_L + [Y,[X,m]_L]_L, \quad \forall X,Y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \forall m \in M. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Definition 3. [5] The right (left) Leibniz cohomology $HL^n(\mathcal{G},M)$ of a right (left) Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ with representation M (i.e. M is a $\mathcal{G}$-module.) is defined for the complex $CL^n(\mathcal{G},M) = Hom(\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n},M)$ with the Leibniz coboundary map $\gamma^n : CL^n(\mathcal{G},M) \longrightarrow CL^{n+1}(\mathcal{G},M)$ such that $\forall \omega \in CL^n(\mathcal{G},M)$ and $X_1,\cdots,X_{n+1} \in \mathcal{G}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma^n\omega)(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_{n+1}) = & \\ & [X_1,\omega(X_2,\cdots,X_{n+1})]_L + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1}(-1)^i[\omega(X_1,\cdots,\widehat{X_i},\cdots,X_{n+1}),X_i]_R \\ & + \sum_{1\le i<j\le n+1}(-1)^{j+1}\omega(X_1,\cdots,X_{i-1},[X_i,X_j],X_{i+1},\cdots,\widehat{X_j},\cdots,X_{n+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for the right Leibniz algebra and

$$(\gamma^n\omega)(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[X_i, \omega(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_i}, \cdots, X_{n+1})]_L + (-1)^{n+1}[\omega(X_1, \cdots, X_n), X_{n+1}]_R + \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1}(-1)^i\omega(X_1, \cdots, \widehat{X_i}, \cdots, X_{j-1}, [X_i, X_j], \cdots, X_{n+1}), \tag{6}$$

for the left one.
As an example for the right Leibniz algebra, $\forall m \in CL^0(\mathcal{G}, M) = C^0(\mathcal{G}, M) = M$, we have

$$(\gamma^0 m)(X) = [X, m]_L, \tag{7}$$

and $\forall\omega \in CL^1(\mathcal{G}, M) = C^1(\mathcal{G}, M)$ we have

$$(\gamma^1\omega)(X, Y) = [X, \omega(Y)]_L + [\omega(X), Y]_R - \omega([X, Y]), \tag{8}$$

and $\forall\omega \in CL^2(\mathcal{G}, M)$ we have

$$\gamma^2\omega(X, Y, Z) = [X, \omega(Y, Z)]_L + [\omega(X, Z), Y]_R - [\omega(X, Y), Z]_R - \omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega(X, [Y, Z]) + \omega([X, Z], Y), \tag{9}$$

in the same way for the left Leibniz algebra we have the following relations:

$$(\gamma^0 m)(X) = -[m, X]_R, \tag{10}$$

$$(\gamma^1\omega)(X, Y) = [X, \omega(Y)]_L + [\omega(X), Y]_R - \omega([X, Y]), \tag{11}$$

and

$$\gamma^2\omega(X, Y, Z) = [X, \omega(Y, Z)]_L - [Y, \omega(X, Z)]_L - [\omega(X, Y), Z]_R - \omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega(X, [Y, Z]) - \omega(Y, [X, Z]). \tag{12}$$

Definition 4. [5] Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a right or left Leibniz algebra then $\omega \in CL^1(\mathcal{G}, M) = C^1(\mathcal{G}, M)$ is called 1-cocycle if $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{G}$ we have:

$$[X, \omega(Y)]_L + [\omega(X), Y]_R - \omega([X, Y]) = 0. \tag{13}$$

Definition 5. [5] Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a right or left Leibniz algebra, $\omega \in CL^2(\mathcal{G}, M)$ is called 2-cocycle if for right or left Leibniz algebra the following relations holds ($\forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{G}$) respectively:

$$[X, \omega(Y, Z)]_L + [\omega(X, Z), Y]_R - [\omega(X, Y), Z]_R - \omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega(X, [Y, Z]) + \omega([X, Z], Y) = 0, \tag{14}$$

or

$$[X, \omega(Y, Z)]_L - [Y, \omega(X, Z)]_L - [\omega(X, Y), Z]_R - \omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega(X, [Y, Z]) - \omega(Y, [X, Z]) = 0. \tag{15}$$

3 Leibniz bialgebras

Before defining the Leibniz bialgebra let us define special actions of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite dimensional Leibniz algebra and $\gamma:\mathcal{G}\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$ is a linear map. Also, we denote the transpose of γ by $\gamma^t:\mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}^*$ where $\mathcal{G}^*$ is dual space of $\mathcal{G}$. We define the following cases of the actions of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$ such that they define $\mathcal{G}$-module structurs on $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$:

(1) If $\mathcal{G}$ is a left or right Leibniz algebra.

$$[,]_L:\mathcal{G}\times(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}),\quad [X,Y\otimes Z]_L:=(ad_X^{(l)}\otimes 1)(Y\otimes Z), \tag{16}$$

$$[,]_R:(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G})\times\mathcal{G}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}),\quad [Y\otimes Z,X]_R:=(ad_X^{(r)}\otimes 1)(Y\otimes Z). \tag{17}$$

(2) If $\mathcal{G}$ is a right Leibniz algebra.

$$[,]_L:\mathcal{G}\times(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}),\quad [X,Y\otimes Z]_L:=0, \tag{18}$$

$$[,]_R:(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G})\times\mathcal{G}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}),\quad [Y\otimes Z,X]_R:=(1\otimes ad_X^{(r)}+ad_X^{(r)}\otimes 1)(Y\otimes Z). \tag{19}$$

(3) If $\mathcal{G}$ is a left Leibniz algebra.

$$[,]_L:\mathcal{G}\times(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}),\quad [X,Y\otimes Z]_L:=(1\otimes ad_X^{(l)}+ad_X^{(l)}\otimes 1)(Y\otimes Z), \tag{20}$$

$$[,]_R:(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G})\times\mathcal{G}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}),\quad [Y\otimes Z,X]_R:=0. \tag{21}$$

(4) If $\mathcal{G}$ is a left or right Leibniz algebra.

$$[,]_L:\mathcal{G}\times(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}),\quad [X,Y\otimes Z]_L:=(1\otimes ad_X^{(l)})(Y\otimes Z), \tag{22}$$

$$[,]_R:(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G})\times\mathcal{G}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}),\quad [Y\otimes Z,X]_R:=(1\otimes ad_X^{(r)})(Y\otimes Z), \tag{23}$$

where in the above relations 1 is the identity map on $\mathcal{G}$. Now, with these structures we define the Leibniz bialgebra.

Definition 6. A *Leibniz bialgebra* $(\mathcal{G},\gamma)$ is a (right or left) Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ with a linear map (cocommutator) $\gamma:\mathcal{G}\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$ such that
(a) γ is a 1-cocycle on $\mathcal{G}$ with values in $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$ [1].

$$[X,\gamma(Y)]_L+[\gamma(X),Y]_R-\gamma([X,Y])=0, \tag{24}$$

(b) $\gamma^t:\mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}^*$ defines a Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{G}^*$.
If we use the notation $[\xi,\eta]_*=\gamma^t(\xi\otimes\eta),\quad\forall\xi,\eta\in\mathcal{G}^*$ then $\forall X\in\mathcal{G}$ we have

$$\langle[\xi,\eta]_*,X\rangle=\langle\gamma^t(\xi\otimes\eta),X\rangle=\langle\gamma(X),\xi\otimes\eta\rangle, \tag{25}$$

[1] Note that $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$ from left and right such that $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$ becomes a $\mathcal{G}$-module.

where $\langle , \rangle$ is the natural pairing between the spaces $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$. Note that with respect to the type of the Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and also its actions $((1)-(4))$ on the $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$; the 1-cocycle condition (24) can be rewitten in the following forms: [2]

$$(1')\ \gamma([X,Y]) = [X,\gamma(Y)]_L + [\gamma(X),Y]_R := (ad_X^{(l)} \otimes 1)(\gamma(Y)) + (ad_Y^{(r)} \otimes 1)(\gamma(X)), \tag{26}$$

$$(2')\ \gamma([X,Y]) = [X,\gamma(Y)]_L + [\gamma(X),Y]_R := (1 \otimes ad_Y^{(r)} + ad_Y^{(r)} \otimes 1)(\gamma(X)), \tag{27}$$

$$(3')\ \gamma([X,Y]) = [X,\gamma(Y)]_L + [\gamma(X),Y]_R := (1 \otimes ad_X^{(l)} + ad_X^{(l)} \otimes 1)(\gamma(Y)), \tag{28}$$

$$(4')\ \gamma([X,Y]) = [X,\gamma(Y)]_L + [\gamma(X),Y]_R := (1 \otimes ad_X^{(l)})(\gamma(Y)) + (1 \otimes ad_Y^{(r)})(\gamma(X)). \tag{29}$$

Proposition 1. *If $(\mathcal{G},\gamma)$ is a Leibniz bialgebra, and μ is the Leibniz bracket of $\mathcal{G}$, then $(\mathcal{G}^*,\mu^t)$ is a Leibniz bialgebra, where γ^t is the Leibniz bracket of $\mathcal{G}^*$.*

Proof. According to which of the above conditions holds for the 1-cocycle; the Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}^*$ can be right or left. We investigate this subject as follows:
Suppose we use from $(1')$ the value of $\gamma([X,Y])$, then from (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle [\xi,\eta]_*, [X,Y]\rangle = \langle \xi\otimes\eta, \gamma[X,Y]\rangle \\ &= \langle \xi\otimes\eta, (ad_X^{(l)} \otimes 1)(\gamma(Y))\rangle + \langle \xi\otimes\eta, (ad_Y^{(r)} \otimes 1)(\gamma(X))\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

We now need to define the right and left coadjoint action of a Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ on the dual vector space $\mathcal{G}^*$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a right or left Leibniz algebra and let $\mathcal{G}^*$ be its dual vector space, then for $X\in\mathcal{G}$ we define

$$ad_X^{*\,(l)} : \mathcal{G}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^* \quad , \quad \langle ad_X^{*\,(l)}\xi, Y\rangle := -\langle \xi, ad_X^{(l)} Y\rangle, \tag{31}$$

$$ad_X^{*\,(r)} : \mathcal{G}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^* \quad , \quad \langle ad_X^{*\,(r)}\xi, Y\rangle := -\langle \xi, ad_X^{(r)} Y\rangle. \tag{32}$$

Using these relations, (30) can be rewritten as

$$\langle [\xi,\eta]_*, [X,Y]\rangle + \langle [ad_X^{*\,(l)}\xi,\eta]_*, Y\rangle + \langle [ad_Y^{*\,(r)}\xi,\eta]_*, X\rangle = 0. \tag{33}$$

In the similar way as above, $\mathcal{G}^*$ can act on $\mathcal{G}$ from left and right. For any $\xi\in\mathcal{G}^*$ we have

$$ad_\xi^{*(l)} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{G}^{**} \quad , \quad (ad_\xi^{*(l)}X)(\eta) = \langle ad_\xi^{*(l)}X,\eta\rangle := -\langle X, ad_\xi^{(l)}\eta\rangle, \tag{34}$$

$$ad_\xi^{*(r)} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{G}^{**} \quad , \quad (ad_\xi^{*(r)}X)(\eta) = \langle ad_\xi^{*(r)}X,\eta\rangle := -\langle X, ad_\xi^{(r)}\eta\rangle. \tag{35}$$

[2]Note that in the case that Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie algebra we have $ad^{(l)} = -ad^{(r)} = ad$ such that any cases of $(1')-(4')$ do not outcome 1-cocycle condition in Lie case directly. In this case we must consider composition of $(1')-(4')$ such that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ be Lie bialgebra, for example composition of cases $(1')$ and $(4')$ or $(2')$ and $(3')$ so for Lie algebra case 1-cocycle condition can be written as follows:

$$\gamma[X,Y] = [X,\gamma(Y)] - [Y,\gamma(X)] = (1\otimes ad_X + ad_X\otimes 1)\gamma(Y) - (1\otimes ad_Y + ad_Y\otimes 1)\gamma(X),$$

where $ad^{(2)} := 1\otimes ad + ad\otimes 1$ define left $\mathcal{G}$-module structure on $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$. Also one can consider

$$\gamma[X,Y] = [\gamma(X),Y] - [\gamma(Y),X]$$

such that $[.,.]$ define right $\mathcal{G}$-module structure on $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$.

By using these relations, (33) can be rewritten as

$$\langle [\xi,\eta]_*, [X,Y]\rangle - \langle ad_X^{*\,(l)}\xi, ad_\eta^{*(r)}Y\rangle - \langle ad_Y^{*\,(r)}\xi, ad_\eta^{*(r)}X\rangle = 0, \tag{36}$$

or

$$\langle [\xi,\eta]_*, \mu(X\otimes Y)\rangle + \langle \xi, [X, ad_\eta^{*(r)}Y]\rangle + \langle \xi, [ad_\eta^{*(r)}X, Y]\rangle = 0, \tag{37}$$

where μ is the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{G}$ and μ^t is the cocommutater on $\mathcal{G}^*$ i.e. $\mu^t : \mathcal{G}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*$. Therefore, we have

$$\langle \mu^t[\xi,\eta]_*, X\otimes Y\rangle - \langle (ad_\eta^{(r)}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes ad_\eta^{(r)})(\mu^t(\xi)), X\otimes Y\rangle = 0, \tag{38}$$

or

$$(ad_\eta^{(r)}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes ad_\eta^{(r)})(\mu^t(\xi)) = \mu^t([\xi,\eta]_*). \tag{39}$$

But, this relation is the 1-cocycle condition (2′) for the Leibniz bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}^*, \mu^t)$ such that it shows the action of $\mathcal{G}^*$ on $\mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*$ as the case (2); i.e. $\mathcal{G}^*$ *is a right Leibniz algebra.*
In the same way, if one uses from (2′) for the value of $\gamma([X,Y])$, then by assuming that $\mathcal{G}$ *is a right Leibniz algebra*, we have

$$(ad_\xi^{(l)}\otimes 1)(\mu^t(\eta)) + (ad_\eta^{(r)}\otimes 1)(\mu^t(\xi)) = \mu^t([\xi,\eta]_*), \tag{40}$$

instead of (39), such that this relation is the 1-cocycle condition (1′) for the Leibniz bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}^*, \mu^t)$, where it shows the action of $\mathcal{G}^*$ on $\mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*$ as the case (1); i.e. $\mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*$ is a $\mathcal{G}^*$-module and $\mathcal{G}^*$ *is a left or right Leibniz algebra.*
On the other hand, for left Leibniz algebra $(\mathcal{G},\mu)$ when one uses (3′) for the value $\gamma([X,Y])$ we have

$$(1\otimes ad_\xi^{(l)})(\mu^t(\eta)) + (1\otimes ad_\eta^{(r)})(\mu^t(\xi)) = \mu^t([\xi,\eta]_*), \tag{41}$$

instead of (39), and this shows that μ^t is a 1-cocycle condition (4′) for the Leibniz bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}^*, \mu^t)$ such that it shows the action of $\mathcal{G}^*$ on $\mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*$ as the case (4); i.e. $\mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*$ is a $\mathcal{G}^*$-module and $\mathcal{G}^*$ *is a left or right Leibniz algebra.*
Finally, for a left or right Leibniz algebra $(\mathcal{G},\mu)$ with value of $\gamma([X,Y])$ as in (4′) we have

$$(1\otimes ad_\xi^{(l)} + ad_\xi^{(l)}\otimes 1)(\mu^t(\eta)) = \mu^t([\xi,\eta]_*), \tag{42}$$

instead of (39), and this shows that μ^t is a 1-cocycle condition (3′) for the Leibniz bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}^*, \mu^t)$ such that it shows the action of $\mathcal{G}^*$ on $\mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*$ as the case (3); i.e. $\mathcal{G}^*\otimes\mathcal{G}^*$ is a $\mathcal{G}^*$-module and $\mathcal{G}^*$ *is a left Leibniz algebra.* ■

A Leibniz bialgebra $(\mathcal{G},\gamma)$ can also be denoted by $(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}^*)$. If we choose $(\{X_i\}, f_{ij}{}^k)$ and $(\{\widetilde{X}^i\}, \tilde{f}^{ij}{}_k)$ as the basis and structure constants of Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ respectively as follows:

$$[X_i, X_j] = f_{ij}{}^k X_k, \quad [\widetilde{X}^i, \widetilde{X}^j] = \tilde{f}^{ij}{}_k\widetilde{X}^k, \tag{43}$$

then, from (43) and (25) we have

$$\gamma(X_k) = \tilde{f}^{ij}{}_k X_i\otimes X_j. \tag{44}$$

At the end of this section we mentioned that there are not Manin triple related to the Leibniz bialgebra (as for Lie bialgebra case).

4 Coboundary Leibniz bialgebras , classical Yang-Baxter equation and r-matrices

In this section, we will define coboundary Leibniz bialgebra such that the Leibniz bialgebra structures on a Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ defined by a cocycle $\gamma^0 r$ which is the coboundary of an element $r \in \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$, where the elements r are called r-matrices[3]. Also we define the classical Yang-Baxter type equation for the Leibniz bialgebra and show that it is a sufficient condition for $\gamma^0 r$ to define a Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{G}^*$. Notice that in this case we suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are both right or left Leibniz algebra

Definition 7. A Leibniz bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}, \gamma)$ is called *coboundary Leibniz bialgebra* if the cocommutator γ is a 1-coboundary, i.e., if there exist an element $r \in \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\gamma(X) = (ad_X^{(l)} \otimes 1)(r) \quad \text{(for right Leibniz algebras and case } (1'))\forall X \in \mathcal{G} \tag{45}$$

$$\gamma(X) = -(ad_X^{(r)} \otimes 1)(r) \quad \text{(for left Leibniz algebras and case } (1'))\forall X \in \mathcal{G} \tag{46}$$

$$\gamma(X) = 0 \quad \text{(for cases } (2') \text{ and } (3')) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{G} \tag{47}$$

$$\gamma(X) = (1 \otimes ad_X^{(l)})(r) \quad \text{(for right Leibniz algebras and case } (4')) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{G} \tag{48}$$

$$\gamma(X) = -(1 \otimes ad_X^{(r)})(r) \quad \text{(for left Leibniz algebras and case } (4')) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{G} \tag{49}$$

In terms of the basis $\{X_i\}$ for the Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ we have $r = r^{ij} X_i \otimes X_j$. Then, using the identities (43) and (44), the cocommutators (45), (46),(48) and (49) can be rewritten as follows respectively,

$$\widetilde{f^{kj}}_m = r^{ij} {f_{mi}}^k, \tag{50}$$
$$\widetilde{f^{kj}}_m = -r^{ij} {f_{im}}^k, \tag{51}$$
$$\widetilde{f^{ik}}_m = r^{ij} {f_{mj}}^k, \tag{52}$$
$$\widetilde{f^{ik}}_m = -r^{ij} {f_{jm}}^k. \tag{53}$$

For any element $r \in \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ we associate the map $\underline{r} : \mathcal{G}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ defined by

$$\underline{r}(\xi)(\eta) = r(\xi, \eta) = \langle \eta, \underline{r}\xi \rangle = \langle \underline{r}^t \eta, \xi \rangle, \qquad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{G}^*, \tag{54}$$

which in the above relation $\underline{r}^t : \mathcal{G}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ denote the transpose of the map $\underline{r}$.
As defined in the previous section $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{G}^*$ we have

$$\langle {ad^*}_X^{(r)} \xi, Y \rangle = \langle {ad^*}_Y^{(l)} \xi, X \rangle. \tag{55}$$

Now we prove the following propositions for the case that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are both right Leibniz algebras; the proof of the other case i.e. $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are both left Leibniz algebras is similar and the results for this case will be given in the corollary 1.

[3]Here in the following we use and generalize the similar method for Lie bialgebra in [10] to the Leibniz bialgebra case.

Proposition 2. *If $(\mathcal{G},\gamma)$ is a Leibniz bialgebra such that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are both right Leibniz algebra and (45) is valid; then $\forall \xi,\eta\in\mathcal{G}^*$ we have*[4]

$$[\xi,\eta]^r=-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\eta)}\xi, \tag{56}$$

Proof. From (45) , (55) and (25) for any $X\in\mathcal{G}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\gamma^t(\xi\otimes\eta),X\rangle=\langle(\gamma^0 r)^t(\xi\otimes\eta),X\rangle=\langle\xi\otimes\eta,(\gamma^0 r)(X)\rangle=\langle\xi\otimes\eta,(ad^{(l)}_X\otimes 1)(r)\rangle\\&=-\langle ad^{*(l)}_X\xi\otimes\eta,r\rangle=-r(ad^{*(l)}_X\xi,\eta)=-\underline{r}(ad^{*(l)}_X\xi)(\eta)=-\langle\eta,\underline{r}(ad^{*(l)}_X\xi)\rangle\\&=-\langle ad^{*(l)}_X\xi,\underline{r}^t\eta\rangle=-\langle ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\eta)}\xi,X\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\gamma^t(\xi\otimes\eta)=[\xi,\eta]^r=-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\eta)}\xi.$$

■

Definition 8. Let $(\mathcal{G},\gamma)$ is a coboudary Leibniz bialgebra such that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are both right Leibniz algebras. We introduce the algebraic Schouten bracket of an element $r\in\wedge^2\mathcal{G}$ with itself, denote by $[[r,r]]\in\wedge\mathcal{G}^3$ as follows:

$$[[r,r]](\xi,\eta,\zeta)=\langle\xi,[\underline{r}^t\eta,\underline{r}^t\zeta]\rangle+\langle\eta,[\underline{r}\xi,\underline{r}^t\zeta]\rangle,\quad\forall\xi,\eta,\zeta\in\mathcal{G}^*. \tag{57}$$

Proposition 3. *Let $(\mathcal{G},\gamma)$ is a coboundary Leibniz bialgebra such that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are both right Leibniz algebras. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\gamma=\gamma^0 r, r\in\wedge\mathcal{G}^2$ to define a Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{G}^*$ is that the Schouten bracket $[[r,r]]$ be ad-invariant.*

Proof. For any $X\in\mathcal{G}$ and $\xi,\eta,\zeta\in\mathcal{G}^*$ the Leibniz identity for $\mathcal{G}^*$ by use of (56) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle[[\xi,\eta]^r,\zeta]^r-[[\xi,\zeta]^r,\eta]^r-[\xi,[\eta,\zeta]^r]^r,X\rangle\\&=\langle[-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\eta)}\xi,\zeta]^r-[-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\zeta)}\xi,\eta]^r-[\xi,-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\zeta)}\eta]^r,X\rangle\\&=\langle-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\zeta)}(-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\eta)}\xi)+ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\eta)}(-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\zeta)}\xi)+ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\zeta)}\eta)}\xi,X\rangle\\&=\langle ad^{*(r)}_{[\underline{r}^t(\eta),\underline{r}^t(\zeta)]}\xi,X\rangle+\langle ad^{*(l)}_X\xi,\underline{r}^t(-ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\zeta)}\eta)\rangle\\&=\langle ad^{*(r)}_{[\underline{r}^t(\eta),\underline{r}^t(\zeta)]}\xi,X\rangle-\langle\underline{r}^t ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\zeta)}\eta,ad^{*(l)}_X\xi\rangle\\&=\langle ad^{*(r)}_{[\underline{r}^t(\eta),\underline{r}^t(\zeta)]}\xi,X\rangle-\langle ad^{*(r)}_{\underline{r}^t(\zeta)}\eta,\underline{r}(ad^{*(l)}_X)\xi\rangle\\&=\langle ad^{*(r)}_{[\underline{r}^t(\eta),\underline{r}^t(\zeta)]}\xi,X\rangle-\langle ad^{*(l)}_{(\underline{r}(ad^{*(l)}_X)}\xi)\eta,\underline{r}^t(\zeta)\rangle\\&=-\langle\xi,[X,[\underline{r}^t(\eta),\underline{r}^t(\zeta)]]\rangle+\langle\eta,[\underline{r}(ad^{*(l)}_X\xi),\underline{r}^t(\zeta)]\rangle\\&=\gamma^0([[r,r]])(X)(\xi,\eta,\zeta)=(ad^{(l)}_X\otimes 1\otimes 1)[[r,r]](\xi,\eta,\zeta),\end{aligned}$$

obviously a sufficient condition for $[[r,r]]$ to be *ad*-invariant is that $[[r,r]]=0$. ■

[4] $[\xi,\eta]_*=\gamma^t(\xi,\eta)$, when $\gamma=\gamma^0 r$ we use $[\xi,\eta]^r$ instead of $[\xi,\eta]_*$.

Corollary 1. *If $(\mathcal{G},\gamma)$ is a coboudary Leibniz bialgebra such that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are both left Leibniz algebras and $\gamma=\gamma^0 r, r\in\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{G}$ then we have*

$$\gamma^t(\xi\otimes\eta)=[\xi,\eta]_*=[\xi,\eta]^r=ad^{*(l)}_{\underline{r}(\xi)}\eta, \tag{58}$$

$$[[r,r]](\xi,\eta,\zeta)=\langle\eta,[\underline{r}\xi,\underline{r}^t\zeta]\rangle+\langle\zeta,[\underline{r}\xi,\underline{r}\eta]\rangle. \tag{59}$$

Definition 9. As for the Lie algebra case we call the conditions that $[[r,r]]=0$ and $[[r,r]]$ be ad-invariant, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and generalized Yang-Baxter equation equation respectively.

Let $(\mathcal{G},\gamma)$ is a Leibniz bialgebra such that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are both right Leibniz algebras. Suppose $\{X_i\}$ and $\{\widetilde{X}^i\}$ are the basis of the $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ respectively. Then we have

$$r(\widetilde{X}^m\otimes\widetilde{X}^n)=r^{ij}(X_i\otimes X_j)(\widetilde{X}^m\otimes\widetilde{X}^n)=r^{ij}\widetilde{X}^m(X_i)\widetilde{X}^n(X_j)=r^{mn}, \tag{60}$$

and from (54) we see that

$$\underline{r}^t\widetilde{X}^n=r^{in}X_i, \tag{61}$$

$$\underline{r}\widetilde{X}^m=r^{mi}X_i. \tag{62}$$

In this way one can rewrite (57) in terms of the structure constants as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&[[r,r]](\widetilde{X}^m,\widetilde{X}^n,\widetilde{X}^p)=\langle\widetilde{X}^m,[\underline{r}^t\widetilde{X}^n,\underline{r}^t\widetilde{X}^p]\rangle+\langle\widetilde{X}^n,[\underline{r}\widetilde{X}^m,\underline{r}^t\widetilde{X}^p]\rangle\\&=\langle\widetilde{X}^m,[r^{in}X_i,r^{jp}X_j]\rangle+\langle\widetilde{X}^n,[r^{mi}X^i,r^{jp}X_j]\rangle=r^{in}r^{jp}f_{ij}{}^m+r^{mi}r^{jp}f_{ij}{}^n,\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

now by setting

$$r_{12}:=r^{ij}X_i\otimes X_j\otimes 1,\quad r_{13}:=r^{ij}X_i\otimes 1\otimes X_j,\quad r_{23}:=r^{ij}1\otimes X_i\otimes X_j, \tag{64}$$

in $\otimes^3\mathcal{G}$, one can define

$$\begin{aligned}[r_{12},r_{13}]&=[r^{ij}X_i\otimes X_j\otimes 1,r^{lk}X_l\otimes 1\otimes X_k]=r^{ij}r^{lk}ad^{(l)}_{X_i}X_l\otimes X_j\otimes X_k\\&=r^{ij}r^{lk}[X_i,X_l]\otimes X_j\otimes X_k=r^{ij}r^{lk}f_{il}{}^sX_s\otimes X_j\otimes X_k,\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[r_{12},r_{23}]&=[r^{ij}X_i\otimes X_j\otimes 1,r^{lk}1\otimes X_l\otimes X_k]=r^{ij}r^{lk}X_i\otimes ad^{(l)}_{X_j}X_l\otimes X_k\\&=r^{ij}r^{lk}X_i\otimes[X_j,X_l]\otimes X_k=r^{ij}r^{lk}f_{jl}{}^sX_i\otimes X_s\otimes X_k,\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[r_{13},r_{23}]&=[r^{ij}X_i\otimes 1\otimes X_j,r^{lk}1\otimes X_l\otimes X_k]=r^{ij}r^{lk}X_i\otimes X_l\otimes ad^{(l)}_{X_j}X_k\\&=r^{ij}r^{lk}X_i\otimes X_l\otimes[X_j,X_k]=r^{ij}r^{lk}f_{jk}{}^sX_i\otimes X_l\otimes X_s,\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

so we obtain

$$[r_{12},r_{13}](\widetilde{X}^m\otimes\widetilde{X}^n\otimes\widetilde{X}^p)=r^{in}r^{lp}f_{il}{}^m, \tag{68}$$

$$[r_{12},r_{23}](\widetilde{X}^m\otimes\widetilde{X}^n\otimes\widetilde{X}^p)=r^{mj}r^{lp}f_{jl}{}^n, \tag{69}$$

$$[r_{13}, r_{23}](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p) = r^{mj} r^{nk} f_{jk}{}^{p}, \tag{70}$$

in this way we have

$$[[r, r]](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p) = [r_{12}, r_{13}](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p) + [r_{12}, r_{23}](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p), \tag{71}$$

or

$$[[r, r]] = [r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}]. \tag{72}$$

So the Yang-Baxter equation for the right Leibniz algebra can be rewritten as follows:

$$[[r, r]] = [r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] = 0. \tag{73}$$

In the same manner we have the following corollary for left Leibniz algebra.

Corollary 2. *If $(\mathcal{G}, \gamma)$ is a Leibniz bialgebra such that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are both left Leibniz algebra then one can rewrite* (59) *in terms of the structure constants as follows:*

$$[[r, r]] = [r_{21}, r_{31}] + [r_{21}, r_{32}]. \tag{74}$$

Proof. From (59) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[[r, r]](\widetilde{X}^m, \widetilde{X}^n, \widetilde{X}^p) = -\langle \widetilde{X}^n, [\underline{r}\widetilde{X}^m, \underline{r}^t \widetilde{X}^p]\rangle - \langle \widetilde{X}^p, [\underline{r}\widetilde{X}^m, \underline{r}\widetilde{X}^n]\rangle \\ &= -\langle \widetilde{X}^n, [r^{mi} X_i, r^{jp} X_j]\rangle - \langle \widetilde{X}^p, [r^{mi} X^i, r^{nj} X_j]\rangle = -r^{mi} r^{jp} f_{ij}{}^{n} - r^{mi} r^{nj} f_{ij}{}^{p}, \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

in this way by setting

$$r_{21} := r^{ij} X_j \otimes X_i \otimes 1, \quad r_{31} := r^{ij} X_j \otimes 1 \otimes X_i, \quad r_{32} := r^{ij} 1 \otimes X_j \otimes X_i, \tag{76}$$

in $\otimes^3 \mathcal{G}$, one can define

$$\begin{aligned} [r_{21}, r_{31}] &= [r^{ij} X_j \otimes X_i \otimes 1, r^{lk} X_k \otimes 1 \otimes X_l] = -r^{ij} r^{lk} ad^{(r)}_{X_j} X_k \otimes X_i \otimes X_l \\ &= r^{ij} r^{lk} [X_k, X_j] \otimes X_i \otimes X_l = -r^{ij} r^{lk} f_{kj}{}^{s} X_s \otimes X_i \otimes X_l, \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [r_{21}, r_{32}] &= [r^{ij} X_j \otimes X_i \otimes 1, r^{lk} 1 \otimes X_k \otimes X_l] = -r^{ij} r^{lk} X_j \otimes ad^{(r)}_{X_i} X_k \otimes X_l \\ &= -r^{ij} r^{lk} X_j \otimes [X_k, X_i] \otimes X_l = -r^{ij} r^{lk} f_{ki}{}^{s} X_j \otimes X_s \otimes X_l, \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [r_{31}, r_{32}] &= [r^{ij} X_j \otimes 1 \otimes X_i, r^{lk} 1 \otimes X_k \otimes X_l] = -r^{ij} r^{lk} X_j \otimes X_k \otimes ad^{(r)}_{X_i} X_l \\ &= -r^{ij} r^{lk} X_j \otimes X_k \otimes [X_l, X_i] = -r^{ij} r^{lk} f_{li}{}^{s} X_j \otimes X_k \otimes X_s, \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

so

$$[r_{21}, r_{31}](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p) = -r^{nj} r^{pk} f_{kj}{}^{m} \tag{80}$$

$$[r_{21}, r_{32}](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p) = -r^{im} r^{pk} f_{ki}{}^{n} \tag{81}$$

$$[r_{31}, r_{32}](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p) = -r^{im} r^{ln} f_{li}{}^{p} \tag{82}$$

then we have

$$[[r, r]](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p) = [r_{21}, r_{31}](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p) + [r_{21}, r_{32}](\widetilde{X}^m \otimes \widetilde{X}^n \otimes \widetilde{X}^p), \tag{83}$$

or

$$[[r, r]] = [r_{21}, r_{31}] + [r_{21}, r_{32}].$$

■

So the Yang-Baxter equation for left Leibniz algebra can be rewritten as follows[5]:

$$[[r, r]] = [r_{21}, r_{31}] + [r_{21}, r_{32}] = 0. \tag{84}$$

5 Examples

In this section, we give some examples of Leibniz bialgebras, and for the case that it is a cobundary Leibniz bialgebra we compute classical r-matrix. For these proposes we first rewrite the 1-cocycle conditions (26)-(29) in terms of the structure constants of the Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$. Using (43) , (25) and (44) in the 1-cocyle conditions (1′)-(4′) we obtain the following relations respectively:

$$(1'')\ f_{ij}{}^{k} \widetilde{f}^{mn}{}_{k} = \widetilde{f}^{m'n}{}_{j} f_{im'}{}^{m} + \widetilde{f}^{m'n}{}_{i} f_{m'j}{}^{m}, \tag{85}$$
$$(2'')\ f_{ij}{}^{k} \widetilde{f}^{mn}{}_{k} = \widetilde{f}^{mn'}{}_{i} f_{n'j}{}^{n} + \widetilde{f}^{m'n}{}_{i} f_{m'j}{}^{m}, \tag{86}$$
$$(3'')\ f_{ij}{}^{k} \widetilde{f}^{mn}{}_{k} = \widetilde{f}^{mn'}{}_{j} f_{in'}{}^{n} + \widetilde{f}^{m'n}{}_{j} f_{im'}{}^{m}, \tag{87}$$
$$(4'')\ f_{ij}{}^{k} \widetilde{f}^{mn}{}_{k} = \widetilde{f}^{mn'}{}_{j} f_{in'}{}^{n} + \widetilde{f}^{mn'}{}_{i} f_{n'j}{}^{n}. \tag{88}$$

Now, to use these relations in the calculations we must first translate the tensor form of these relations to the matrix forms by using the following adjoint representations:

$$f_{ij}{}^{k} = -(\chi_i)_j{}^{k} = -(Y^k)_{ij} = -((Y^k)^t)_{ji} = f'^{\,k}_{ji} = -(\chi'_j)_i{}^{k}, \tag{89}$$
$$\widetilde{f}^{ij}{}_{k} = -(\widetilde{\chi}^i)^j{}_k = -(\widetilde{Y_k})^{ij} = -(\widetilde{(Y_k)^t})^{ji} = \widetilde{f}'^{ji}{}_{k} = -(\widetilde{\chi'^j})^i{}_k. \tag{90}$$

Then relations (1″)-(4″) have the following matrix forms respectively:

$$(1''')\ Y^m \widetilde{\chi'^n} + (\widetilde{\chi'^n})^t Y^m - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_k Y^k = 0, \tag{91}$$
$$(2''')\ (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^t Y^n + (\widetilde{\chi'^n})^t Y^m - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_k Y^k = 0, \tag{92}$$
$$(3''')\ Y^n \widetilde{\chi}^m + Y^m \widetilde{\chi'^n} - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_k Y^k = 0, \tag{93}$$
$$(4''')\ Y^n \widetilde{\chi}^m + (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^t Y^n - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_k Y^k = 0, \tag{94}$$

where in the above relations t stands for transpose of a matrix. On the other hand, the right and left Leibniz identities (1) and (2) for Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}$ can be rewritten in terms of structure constants as follows:

$$r)\ f_{jk}{}^{p} f_{pi}{}^{m} = f_{ji}{}^{p} f_{pk}{}^{m} + f_{ki}{}^{p} f_{jp}{}^{m}, \tag{95}$$
$$l)\ f_{jk}{}^{p} f_{ip}{}^{m} = f_{ij}{}^{p} f_{pk}{}^{m} + f_{ik}{}^{p} f_{jp}{}^{m}. \tag{96}$$

[5]Note that our definitions for r-matrix and Yang-Baxter equations for the Leibniz algebra are different from definition given in [12].

And in the similar way we have the following relations for the dual Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}^*$:

$$r)\ \widetilde{f}^{jk}{}_p\widetilde{f}^{pi}{}_m = \widetilde{f}^{ji}{}_p\widetilde{f}^{pk}{}_m + \widetilde{f}^{ki}{}_p\widetilde{f}^{jp}{}_m, \tag{97}$$

$$l)\ \widetilde{f}^{jk}{}_p\widetilde{f}^{ip}{}_m = \widetilde{f}^{ij}{}_p\widetilde{f}^{pk}{}_m + \widetilde{f}^{ik}{}_p\widetilde{f}^{jp}{}_m, \tag{98}$$

where we have the following matrix form for the relation (97) and (98) respectively:

$$(\widetilde{\chi}^j)^i{}_p\widetilde{\chi}^p - \widetilde{\chi}^j\widetilde{\chi'}^i + \widetilde{\chi'}^i\widetilde{\chi}^j = 0, \tag{99}$$

$$(\widetilde{\chi}^i)^j{}_p\widetilde{\chi}^p + (\widetilde{\chi}^i)\widetilde{\chi}^j - \widetilde{\chi}^j\widetilde{\chi}^i = 0. \tag{100}$$

Now one can use the relations (91)-(94) and (99)-(100) for calculation of the dual Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{G}^*$. According to the type of Leibniz algebras $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$, we must solve the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &Y^m\widetilde{\chi'}^n + (\widetilde{\chi'}^n)^tY^m - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_kY^k = 0,\\ &(\widetilde{\chi}^j)^i{}_p\widetilde{\chi}^p - \widetilde{\chi}^j\widetilde{\chi'}^i + \widetilde{\chi'}^i\widetilde{\chi}^j = 0, \quad (\mathcal{G} \text{ left or right-}\mathcal{G}^* \text{ right}) \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\widetilde{\chi}^m)^tY^n + (\widetilde{\chi'}^n)^tY^m - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_kY^k = 0,\\ &(\widetilde{\chi}^j)^i{}_p\widetilde{\chi}^p - \widetilde{\chi}^j\widetilde{\chi'}^i + \widetilde{\chi'}^i\widetilde{\chi}^j = 0, \quad (\mathcal{G} \text{ right-}\mathcal{G}^* \text{ right}) \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\widetilde{\chi}^m)^tY^n + (\widetilde{\chi'}^n)^tY^m - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_kY^k = 0,\\ &(\widetilde{\chi}^i)^j{}_p\widetilde{\chi}^p + (\widetilde{\chi}^i)\widetilde{\chi}^j - \widetilde{\chi}^j\widetilde{\chi}^i = 0, \quad (\mathcal{G} \text{ right -}\mathcal{G}^* \text{ left}) \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &Y^n\widetilde{\chi}^m + (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^tY^n - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_kY^k = 0,\\ &(\widetilde{\chi}^i)^j{}_p\widetilde{\chi}^p + (\widetilde{\chi}^i)\widetilde{\chi}^j - \widetilde{\chi}^j\widetilde{\chi}^i = 0, \quad (\mathcal{G} \text{ left or right-}\mathcal{G}^* \text{ left}) \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &Y^n\widetilde{\chi}^m + Y^m\widetilde{\chi'}^n - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_kY^k = 0,\\ &(\widetilde{\chi}^j)^i{}_p\widetilde{\chi}^p - \widetilde{\chi}^j\widetilde{\chi'}^i + \widetilde{\chi'}^i\widetilde{\chi}^j = 0, \quad (\mathcal{G} \text{ left-}\mathcal{G}^* \text{ right}) \end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &Y^n\widetilde{\chi}^m + Y^m\widetilde{\chi'}^n - (\widetilde{\chi}^m)^n{}_kY^k = 0,\\ &(\widetilde{\chi}^i)^j{}_p\widetilde{\chi}^p + (\widetilde{\chi}^i)\widetilde{\chi}^j - \widetilde{\chi}^j\widetilde{\chi}^i = 0. \quad (\mathcal{G} \text{ left-}\mathcal{G}^* \text{ left}) \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

Furthermore, for determining the classical r-matrix of a Leibniz algebra one can rewrite the relations (50)- (53) in the matrix forms as follows:

$$\widetilde{Y}_m = \chi_m{}^t r, \tag{107}$$

$$\widetilde{Y}_m = -\chi'_m{}^t r, \tag{108}$$

$$\widetilde{Y}_m = r\chi_m, \tag{109}$$

$$\widetilde{Y}_m = -r\chi'_m. \tag{110}$$

Now, using the above relations we obtain some examples as follows.

Example 1. We consider the following two dimensional left Leibniz algebra [12]

$$[e_1, e_1] = e_2 \quad , \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_2, \tag{111}$$

for this example we have

$$\chi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\chi'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \chi'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$Y^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By solving the system of equations (101) , (104) ,(105) and (106) we obtain the following $\mathcal{G}^*$ algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} &1.\ [\tilde{e}^1, \tilde{e}^2] = -a(\tilde{e}^1 + \tilde{e}^2), \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^2] = a(\tilde{e}^1 + \tilde{e}^2) \quad \text{(left Leibniz algebra)}, \\ &2.\ [\tilde{e}^1, \tilde{e}^2] = -a(\tilde{e}^1 + \tilde{e}^2), \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^2] = a(\tilde{e}^1 + \tilde{e}^2) \quad \text{(lie algebra)}, \\ &3.\ [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^1] = -a(\tilde{e}^1 + \tilde{e}^2), \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^2] = a(\tilde{e}^1 + \tilde{e}^2) \quad \text{(right Leibniz algebra)}, \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

and by solving the systems of equation (110) in case 1 we have

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -a & c \end{pmatrix}, \tag{113}$$

for the case 2 there is no r-matrix satisfies in (108) and (110). By solving the systems of equations (108) in the case 3 we obtain

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} a & -a \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}, \tag{114}$$

where in the above relations a , b and c are arbitrary constants such that in the case 1, if $c = a$, $b = -a$ then r is a classical r-matrix and satisfies in (84).

Example 2. We consider the following two dimensional right Leibniz algebra [12]

$$[e_1, e_1] = e_2 \quad , \quad [e_2, e_1] = e_2, \tag{115}$$

for this example we have

$$\chi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\chi'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \chi'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$Y^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, solving the systems of the equations (101)-(104) we have obtained the following $\mathcal{G}^*$ algebras:

$$\begin{aligned}
&1.\ [\tilde{e}^2,\tilde{e}^2]=a(\tilde{e}^1+\tilde{e}^2),\quad [\tilde{e}^1,\tilde{e}^2]=-a(\tilde{e}^1+\tilde{e}^2)\quad \text{(left Leibniz algebra)},\\
&2.\ [\tilde{e}^1,\tilde{e}^2]=-a(\tilde{e}^1+\tilde{e}^2),\quad [\tilde{e}^2,\tilde{e}^1]=a(\tilde{e}^1+\tilde{e}^2)\quad \text{(Lie algebra)},\\
&3.\ [\tilde{e}^2,\tilde{e}^1]=-a(\tilde{e}^1+\tilde{e}^2),\quad [\tilde{e}^2,\tilde{e}^2]=a(\tilde{e}^1+\tilde{e}^2)\quad \text{(right Leibniz algebra)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{116}$$

By solving (109) for the case 1 we obtain

$$r=\begin{pmatrix} -a & b\\ a & c\end{pmatrix},\tag{117}$$

where a , b and c are arbitrary constants such that for the cases 2 and 3 there are no r-matrices that satisfies in (107) and (109).

Example 3. We consider the following left and right two dimentional Leibniz algebra [12]

$$[e_1,e_1]=e_2.$$

Then, we have

$$\chi_1=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},\qquad \chi_2=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\chi'_1=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},\qquad \chi'_2=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$
$$Y^1=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},\qquad Y^2=\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

By solving the system of equations (101)-(106) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&1.\ [\tilde{e}^1,\tilde{e}^2]=-a\tilde{e}^1,\quad [\tilde{e}^2,\tilde{e}^1]=a\tilde{e}^1\quad \text{(Lie algebra)},\\
&2.\ [\tilde{e}^2,\tilde{e}^2]=a\tilde{e}^1\quad \text{(left and right Leibniz algebra)},
\end{aligned}\tag{118}$$

by solving $(107)-(110)$ in the case 2 respectively we have

$$r_1=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a\\ b & c\end{pmatrix},\qquad r_2=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a\\ b & c\end{pmatrix},\qquad r_3=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b\\ a & c\end{pmatrix},\qquad r_4=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b\\ -a & c\end{pmatrix},\tag{119}$$

where a , b and c are arbitrary constants. If $b=-a$ then r_4 and r_1 satisfy in (84) and (73) respectively.

Example 4. We consider the following three dimensional right Leibniz algebra[17]

$$[e_1,e_1]=e_2\quad ,\quad [e_2,e_1]=e_3.\tag{120}$$

Then, we have

$$\chi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \chi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\chi'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \chi'_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$Y^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Y^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solving the systems of the equations (101)-(104) one can obtain the following $\mathcal{G}^*$ algebras:

$$\begin{aligned}
&1.\ [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^3] = a\tilde{e}^1 + b\tilde{e}^2, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^2] = b\tilde{e}^1 \quad \text{(left Leibniz algebra)},\\
&2.\ [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^3] = a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^3] = b\tilde{e}^1 \quad \text{(left Leibniz algebra)},\\
&3.\ [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^3] = a\tilde{e}^1 + b\tilde{e}^2, \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^3] = b\tilde{e}^1 \quad \text{(right Leibniz algebra)},\\
&4.\ [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^2] = a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^3] = b\tilde{e}^1 \quad \text{(right Leibniz algebra)},\\
&5.\ [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^2] = a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^3] = -a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^3] = b\tilde{e}^1 \quad \text{(left Leibniz algebra)},\\
&6.\ [\tilde{e}^1, \tilde{e}^2] = -a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^1, \tilde{e}^3] = -a\tilde{e}^2, \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^1] = a\tilde{e}^1\\
&[\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^3] = -b\tilde{e}^1 - a\tilde{e}^3, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^2] = b\tilde{e}^1 + a\tilde{e}^3, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^1] = a\tilde{e}^2 \quad \text{(Lie algebra)},\\
&7.\ [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^2] = -a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^3] = -a\tilde{e}^2, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^1] = 2a\tilde{e}^1\\
&[\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^2] = a\tilde{e}^2, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^3] = b\tilde{e}^1 \quad \text{(left Leibniz algebra)},\\
&8.\ [\tilde{e}^1, \tilde{e}^3] = -b\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^3] = a\tilde{e}^1 - b\tilde{e}^2\\
&[\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^1] = b\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^2] = a\tilde{e}^1 + b\tilde{e}^2 \quad (\text{ Lie algebra}),\\
&9.\ [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^3] = -a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^2] = a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^3] = b\tilde{e}^1 \quad (\text{ right Leibniz algebra}),\\
&10.\ [\tilde{e}^1, \tilde{e}^3] = -2a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^2] = a\tilde{e}^1, \quad [\tilde{e}^2, \tilde{e}^3] = -a\tilde{e}^2,\\
&[\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^2] = a\tilde{e}^2, \quad [\tilde{e}^3, \tilde{e}^3] = b\tilde{e}^1 \quad \text{(right Leibniz algebra)},
\end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

by solving (109) in the case 1 for the special case $a = 0$ we have

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_3 & a_4 \\ b & a_5 & a_6 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{122}$$

and by solving (107) in the case 3 for the special case $a = 0$ we have

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{123}$$

where $a_1, \cdots, a_6$ are arbitrary numbers.

6 Conclusions

We have defined the Leibniz bialgebra, the Yang-Baxter equation and classical r-matrices for the Leibniz algebras. In this direction, there are some open problems as follows: for the quantization of Leibniz algebras one can use the Leibniz bialgebras (similar to the Lie algebras). The question that: Is the Leibniz bialgebra an algebraic structure of the Lie rack [14] (Leibniz-Lie rack) such that the Leibniz structure [15] over it, is compatible with the rack structure? What is the role of the Leibniz bialgebras in the integrable metriplectic systems [16].

Acknowledgment

We would like to express our heartiest gratitude to F. Darabi for carefully reading the manuscript and his useful comments.

References

[1] Blokh, A. M. "*On a generalization of the concept of a Lie algebra*", Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **165**(1965)471-473.

[2] Loday, J. L. "*Une version non commutative des algebres de Lie: les algebres de Leibniz*", Enseign Math. **39**(1993)269-293.

[3] Casasa, J. M., Ladrab, M., Omirov, B.A. and Karimjanov, I. A. "*Classification of solvable Leibniz algebras with null-filiform nilradical*", Linear and Multilinear Algebra **61**(6)(2013)758-774. Albeverio, S. A., Ayupov, S. A. and Omirov, B. A. " *Cartan subalgebras, weight spaces, and criterion of solvability of finite-dimensional Leibniz algebras*", Rev. Mat. Complut. **19**(2006)183-195. Omirov, B. A. "*Conjugacy of Cartan subalgebras of complex finite-dimensional Leibniz algebras*", J. Algebra **302**(2006)887-896. Ayupov, S. A., Omirov, B. A. "*On Leibniz algebras*", Algebra and Operator Theory (1997)1-12.

[4] Barnes, D. W. " *On Levi's theorem for Leibniz algebras*", Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **86**(2012)184-185.

[5] Loday, J. L. and Pirashvili, T. "*Universal enveloping algebra of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology*", Math. Ann. **296**(1993)139-158.

[6] Cuvier, C. "*Homologie de Leibniz*", Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup. **27**(1994)1-45. Pirashvili, T. "*On Leibniz homology*" Ann. Inst. Fourier **44**(1994)401-411.

[7] Loday, J. L. " *Cyclic Homology*", Berlin: Springer, (1992).

[8] Albeverio, S., Omirov, B. A. and Rakhimov, I. S. "*Classification of 4-Dimensional Nilpotent Complex Leibniz Algebras*", Extracta Mathematicae **21**(3)(2006)197-210. Albeverio, S., Ayupov, Sh. A. and Omirov, B. A. " *On nilpotent and simple Leibniz algebras*", Comm. Algebra, **33**(1)(2005)159-172. Ayupov, Sh. A., Omirov, B. A. " *On some classes of nilpotent Leibniz algebras*", Siberian Math. J.**42**(1)(2001)15-24. (Russian: Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.**42**(1)(2001)18-29.)

[9] Drinfeld, V. G. "*Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of the classical Yang-Baxter equations*", Soviet Math. Dokl.**27**(1983)No.1. Yang-Baxter equation in integrable systems, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, Editor Jimbo, M. **10**(1989)222-225.

[10] Kossman Schwarzbach, Y. "*Lie bialgebras, Poisson-Lie groups and dressing transformations, Integrability of nonlinear systems, second edition*", Lecture notes in physics 038, Springer-Verlag (2004)107-173.

[11] Lin, J. and Chen, zh. "*Leibniz algebras with pseudo-Riemannian bilinear forms*", Front. Math. China **5**(1)(2010)103-115.

[12] Felipe, R., Lopez-Reyes, N. and Ongay, F. "*R-matrices for Leibniz algebras*", Lett. Math. Phys. **63**(2003)157-164.

[13] Felipe, R., Lopez-Reyes, N. and Ongay, F. and Velasquez, R. "*Yang-Baxter equations on matrix dialgebras with bar unit*", Linear Algebra Appl. **403**(2005)31-44.

[14] Kinyon Michael, K. "*Leibniz algebras, Lie racks and digroups.*", J. Lie. Theory **17**(1)(2007)99-114.

[15] Grabowski, J., Urbanski, P. "*Algebroids-general differential calculus on vector bundles*", J. Geom. Phys. **31**(1999)111-141.

[16] Fish, D. "*Metriplectic Systems*", PhD dissertation, Portland state University, (2005).

[17] Albeverio, S. Ayupov, Sh. A. and Omirov, B. A." *On nilpotent and simple Leibniz algebras*", Comm. Algebra**33**(1)(2005)159172.